



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/781,374	02/18/2004	Brian A. Franchuk	E252.12-0006	2804

164 7590 10/04/2005  
KINNEY & LANGE, P.A.  
THE KINNEY & LANGE BUILDING  
312 SOUTH THIRD STREET  
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55415-1002

EXAMINER

DUONG, FRANK

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2666

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

10/781,374

Applicant(s)

FRANCHUK ET AL.

Examiner

Frank Duong

Art Unit

2666

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 August 2005.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Office Action is a response to communications dated 08/10/05. Claims 1-21 are pending in the application.

### ***Drawings***

2. The drawings were received on 08/10/05. These drawings are approved.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Reinert (USP 6,539,489).

Regarding **claim 1**, in accordance with Reinert reference entirety, Reinert discloses a method for time synchronization (FIGs. 1A-1B) of field devices (FIG. 3; 202a-202n) on a network (FIG. 3; 203) of a distributed control system (FIG. 3), the method comprising: transmitting periodically timing information (master clock pulse from a master clock (FIG. 3; 201) to the field devices (FIG. 3; 202a-202n) over the network (FIG. 3; 203) of the distributed control system (FIG. 3) (col. 7, lines 28-41); and

Art Unit: 2666

adjusting (phase compensated or time shifted) an output clock signal frequency slave event start pulse 50a) and a time stamp (compensated Master Event Start Pulse 20a) of each field device as a function of the periodically transmitted timing information and an output clock signal local to each field device (col. 7, line 42 to col. 9, line 30).

Regarding **claim 2**, in addition to features recited in base claim 1 (see rationales discussed above), Reinert further discloses wherein the step of adjusting comprises: calculating a frequency ratio based upon the periodically transmitted timing information and the output clock signal (FIG. 2d; T-MIN 41 and F-MAX 43 or the expectancy phase or time interval or window Tint 44 is calculated at col. 5, lines 50-51 and thereafter); determining an add and a subtract parameter according to the frequency ratio (FIG. 2D; T-ACT 42 and col. 5, line 63 and thereafter); and varying an output of a variable clock using the add and the subtract parameters to produce the output clock signal (FIG. 2F; +-PHASE ADJUSTMENT VARIABLE  $\Delta T$  and col. 6, lines 53-58 and thereafter).

Regarding **claim 3**, in addition to features recited in base claim 1 (see rationales discussed above), Reinert further discloses wherein a period between transmission of the timing information varies (cable delay) (col. 5, lines 1-48).

Regarding **claim 4**, in addition to features recited in base claim 1 (see rationales discussed above), Reinert further discloses wherein the step of adjusting comprises: determining adjustment parameters (FIG. 2D; T-ACT 42 and col. 5, line 63 and thereafter); generating the output clock signal with a nominal rate of one output pulse for every two input pulses of the fixed rate input clock signals (FIG. 2d; T-MIN 41 and F-MAX 43 or the expectancy phase or time interval or window Tint 44 is calculated at col.

Art Unit: 2666

5, lines 50-51 and thereafter); and adding and subtracting pulses from the output clock signal based upon the adjustment parameters (FIG. 2F; +-PHASE ADJUSTMENT VARIABLE  $\Delta F$  and col. 6, lines 53-58 and thereafter).

Regarding **claim 5**, in addition to features recited in base claim 1 (see rationales discussed above), Reinert further discloses wherein the time stamp of each field device is synchronized to the master clock so that reading from the time stamp does not require time scaling in software (col. 6, lines 30-52).

Regarding **claim 6**, in accordance with Reined reference entirety, Reined discloses a method of synchronization (FIGs. 1A-1B) of local sense of time of each of plurality of field devices (FIG. 3; 2023-202n) to a clock of a master field device (FIG. 3; 201) on a segment of a control network (FIG. 3; 203) using a time distribution data unit (master clock pulse), the method comprising: detecting the time distribution data unit on the segment of the control network (FIG. 1B; block 330 and col. 7, lines 43-65); calculating a frequency ratio between the local sense of time of a field device and a sense of time of the master field device (FIG. 1B; block 340 and col. 7, line 66 to col. 8, line 25); and adjusting as necessary the sense of time of the field device according to the frequency ratio (FIG. 1B; blocks 360-370 and col. 8, line 63 to col. 9, line 30).

Regarding **claim 7**, in addition to features recited in base claim 6 (see rationales discussed above), Reined further discloses testing the frequency ratio against boundary conditions of the field device (FIG. 1B; block 360 and col. 8, line 64 to col. 9, line 3 and thereafter).

Regarding **claim 8**, in addition to features recited in base claim 6 (see rationales discussed above), Reinert further discloses wherein the step of adjusting comprises: adding and subtracting variable pulses from a sequence of clock pulses generated by a variable clock based upon the frequency ration (FIG. 2F;  $\pm(\text{PHASEADJUSTMENT VARIABLE}-\Delta T)$ )).

Regarding **claim 9**, in addition to features recited in base claim 6 (see rationales discussed above), Reined further discloses wherein the sense of time of the field device is maintained by an output clock signal (col. 8, lines 35-51 and thereafter).

Regarding **claim 10**, in addition to features recited in base claim 6 (see rationales discussed above), Reinert further discloses wherein a frequency ratio equal to one results in no adjustment to the sense of time of the field device (FIG. 1B; block 360 (YES) and col. 9, lines 4-30).

Regarding **claim 11**, in addition to features recited in base claim 6 (see rationales discussed above), Reined further discloses time stamping subsequently received data packets in hardware without having to scale a local sense of time in software (col. 6, lines 42-45 and thereafter).

Regarding **claim 12**, in accordance with Reinert reference entirety, Reinert discloses a process control system having a common sense of time (&G. 3,' master clock 20J), the system comprising: a control network (FIG. 3; 203); a time master device (FIG. 3; 201) in communication with a control network and having a master clock for generating a master clock signal, the time master device for periodically transmitting a time distribution data unit master clock pulse representative of the master clock signal

(col. 7, lines 28-30 and thereafter); and a plurality of time slave devices (8/G. 3,\* 202a-202n4 in communication with the control network, each time slave device having a local clock, and a time adjustment element (FIG. 2F; element 80 or FIG. 4; element 6604 for adjusting the Local clock according to a frequency ratio between the master clock signal and an output dock signal of the Local clock (col. 6, lines 40-52 or col. 7, line 41 to col. 9, line 30).

Regarding **claim 13**, in addition to features recited in base claim 12 (see rationales discussed above), Reinert further discloses wherein the time adjustment element is implemented in software (col. 6, lines 42-45).

Regarding **claim 14**, in addition to features recited in base claim 12 (see rationales discussed above), Reinert further discloses wherein the time adjustment element is implemented as a combination of hardware and software components (col. 6, lines 42-45).

Regarding **claim 15**, in addition to features recited in base claim 12 (see rationales discussed above), Reinert further discloses wherein the local clock includes a fixed rate clock (FIG. 4; 663) for providing input clock pulses (xclkcy-165) and a variable clock (FIG. 4; 560 and 520) for producing the output clock signal (FIG. 4; 510) based upon the input clock pulses and adjustment inputs from the time adjustment element (FIG. 4; 660) (col. 11, line 58 to col. 12, line 23).

Regarding **claim 16**, in addition to features recited in base claim 15 (see rationales discussed above), Reinert further discloses wherein the time adjustment element (FIG. 27: block 80 or FIG. 4: block 660) calculates adjustment coefficients for

use by the variable clock, and wherein the variable clock selectively adds and subtracts pulses from a sequence of pulses according to the adjustment coefficients (Note: FIGs. 24-28 show the clock signals and a phase compensating or time shifting the base or reference clock of the slave system 202a-202n by a phase adjustment variable 62 is disclosed at col. 6, lines 53-58 and thereafter).

Regarding **claim 17**, in addition to features recited in base claim 15 (see rationales discussed above), Reinert further discloses wherein the output clock signal is substantially synchronized with the master clock signal (FIG. 2E and col. 6, lines 30-36 and thereafter).

Regarding **claim 18**, in accordance with Reinert reference entirety, Reined discloses a method for reducing time processing cycles (FIGs. 1-4) in distributed field devices (FIG. 3; 202a-202n) of a process control network (FIG. 3; 203), the method comprising: calculating adjustment coefficients for each field device according to a difference in frequencies between a local clock of each field device and a master clock of a time master device on the process control network (FIG. 1B; block 340 and col. 7, line 66 to col. 8, line 25); and adjusting a sense of time of each field device as needed to synchronize a sense of time of each field device with the time master device (FIG. 1B; blocks 360-370 and col. 8, line 63 to col. 9, line 30).

Regarding **claim 19**, in addition to features recited in base claim 18 (see rationales discussed above), Reinert further discloses transmitting a time distribution data unit from the time master to the distributed field devices before the step of



calculating (FIG. 1A; block 310 and the description at col. 7, lines 28-30 and thereafter).

Regarding **claim 20**, in addition to features recited in base claim 18 (see rationales discussed above), Reinert further discloses wherein a time stamp of each field device is synchronized to the sense of time of the field device such that reading a time value from the time stamp does not require scaling of the time value (col. 7, line 42 to col. 9, line 30).

Regarding **claim 21**, in addition to features recited in base claim 18 (see rationales discussed above), Reined further discloses wherein the step of calculating adjustment coefficients comprises: calculating a frequency ratio between the local clock of each field device and the master clock of a time master device (FIG. 2d; T-MIN 41 and F-MAX 43 or the expectancy phase or time interval or window Tint 44 is calculated at col. 5, lines 50-51 and thereafter); determining whether the frequency ratio is within adjustment boundary conditions (FIG. 2D; T-ACT 42 and col. 5, line 63 and thereafter); and calculating the adjustment coefficients as needed for adjusting frequency of the local clock (phase adjustment variable 62 disclosed at col. 6, line 58 and thereafter).

### ***Response to Arguments***

4. Applicant's arguments filed 08/10/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In the Remarks of the outstanding response, on page 9, pertaining the rejection of

claims 1-5, Applicants argue "Reinert does not disclose the *"adjusting an output clock signal frequency"*. In Reinert, Applicants state, it is disclosed *"a method of synchronization that performs adjustment through phase compensating or time shifting the output clock"*, instead.

In response Examiner agrees and asserts the Office Action does correctly clearly point out the claimed limitation of *"adjusting an output clock signal frequency"* corresponding to that taught by Reinert. In a clock signal (sine wave signal or carrier), the frequency and phase are closely related or used interchangeably such that when the instantaneous phase of a carrier is varied, the instantaneous frequency changes as well. Therefore, Reinert does teach all claimed limitations in a manner as recited in the claims.

On pages 10-11 of the Remarks, pertaining the rejection of claims 6-11, Applicants argue the interpretation of Reinert against a limitation in claim 6 is inaccurate. To justify the argument Applicants further state *"present invention calculates the frequency ratio by dividing the rate of the time master 16 clock signal over the rate of the time slave 18 signal (page 12, lines 13-18). The frequency ratio indicates whether the rate of the time slave 18 signal is within an acceptable range of the rate of the time master 16 clock signal (page 12, line 20 to page 13)"* and *"uses the frequency ratio, which is based on the signal rates of the master and field devices, to calculate scaling factors to adjust the frequency or rate of the time slave 18 signal (page 3, lines 5-19). Adjusting the frequency of a signal changes its long-term rate (page 10, lines 3-22)"*.

In response Examiner respectfully disagrees. A careful review of the disputed claim Examiner finds no such language in the claim. Perhaps Applicants refer to certain features that are disclosed in the present application but not recited in the reject claims in making the contention that the Reinert reference fails to show certain feature of applicants' invention. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

On pages 11-12, pertaining the rejection of claims 12-21, Applicants again argue base on the limitations not in the claims. Please see the above response!

Examiner believes an earnest attempt has been made in addressing all of the Applicants' arguments. Due to the response fails to place the instant application in a favorable condition for allowance and the arguments are not persuasive, the rejection is maintained.

### ***Conclusion***

**5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 2666

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frank Duong whose telephone number is 571-272-3164. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00AM-3:30PM, Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Seema S. Rao can be reached on 571-272-3174. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



**FRANK DUONG**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

September 29, 2005